J. Condensed Matter Nucl. Sci. 4 (2011) 188-201



Research Article

Bose-Einstein Condensate Theory of Deuteron Fusion in Metal

Yeong E. Kim *

Purdue Nuclear and Many-body Theory (PNMBT) Group, Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47906, USA

Abstract

Theory of Bose–Einstein condensation nuclear fusion (BECNF) has been developed to explain many diverse experimental results of deuteron induced nuclear reactions in metals, observed in electrolysis and gas loading experiments. The theory is based on a single conventional physical concept of Bose–Einstein condensation of deuterons in metal and provides a consistent theoretical description of the experimental results. The theory is capable of explaining most of the diverse experimental observations, and also has predictive powers as expected for a quantitatively predictive physical theory. It is shown that the fusion energy transfer to metal can be accomplished by the stopping power of metal without invoking hypothesis of fusion energy transfer to metal lattice vibrations. It is also shown that observed anomalous tritium production can be explained by a sub-threshold resonance reaction mechanism. The basic concept and important features of the BECNF theory is presented, and theoretical explanations of the experimental observations are described. Key experimental tests of theoretical predictions are proposed and discussed. © 2011 ISCMNS. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Bose-Einstein Condensation, Deuteron fusion in metal, Nano-scale materials, Sub-threshold resonance reaction

PACS: 24.10.-i, 24.10.Cn, 24.30.-v, 61.46.-w, 89.30.Jj

1. Introduction

Two decades ago, Fleischmann and Pons reported excess heat generation in electrolysis experiment using the negatively polarized Pd/D–D₂O system [1]. Since then, many others have reported experimental observations of excess heat generation and anomalous nuclear reactions occurring in metal at ultra low energies from electrolysis experiments [2] and gas-loading experiments [2–4]. These anomalous reaction rates cannot be explained using the conventional theory of nuclear reactions in free space, which predicts extremely low nuclear reaction rates at ultra low energies ($\leq 10 \text{ eV}$) due to the Gamow factor arising from the Coulomb repulsion between two charged nuclei undergoing nuclear-reaction process.

Recently, a consistent conventional theoretical explanation [5] is provided for the anomalous results observed for deuteron induced nuclear reactions in metal at ultra low energies. The theory is capable of explaining most of the experimental observations, and provides theoretical predictions that can be tested experimentally for the confirmation of the theory. A detailed description of the theoretical explanation, based on the theory of Bose–Einstein condensation

^{*}E-mail: yekim@purdue.edu

^{© 2011} ISCMNS. All rights reserved.

nuclear fusion is presented along with suggested experimental tests of predictions of the theory and a discussion of the scalability of the fusion rates based on the theory.

2. Anomalous Experimental Results

The conventional deuterium fusion in free space proceeds via the following nuclear reactions:

- {1} $D + D \rightarrow p (3.02 \text{ MeV}) + T (1.01 \text{ MeV}),$
- {2} $D + D \rightarrow n (2.45 \text{ MeV}) + {}^{3}\text{He} (0.82 \text{ MeV}),$
- $\{3\} \qquad D + D \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + \gamma \text{ (23.8 MeV)}.$

The cross-sections (or reaction rates) for reactions {1} and {2} have been measured by beam experiments at intermediate energies ($\geq 10 \text{ keV}$). The cross-sections for reactions {1}–{3} are expected to be extremely small at low energies ($\leq 10 \text{ eV}$) due to the Gamow factor arising from Coulomb barrier between two deuterons. The measured cross-sections have branching ratios: (σ {1}, σ {2}, σ {3}) \approx (0.5, 0.5, 10⁻⁶).

From many experimental measurements by Fleischmann and Pons [1], and many others [2–4] over 20 years since then, the following experimental results have emerged. At ambient temperatures or low energies ($\leq 10 \text{ eV}$), deuterium fusion in metal proceeds via the following reactions:

{4} $D(m) + D(m) \rightarrow p(m) + T(m) + 4.03 \text{ MeV}(m),$

- {5} $D(m) + D(m) \rightarrow n(m) + {}^{3}He(m) + 3.27 \text{ MeV}(m),$
- {6} $D(m) + D(m) \rightarrow {}^{4}He(m) + 23.8 \text{ MeV}(m),$

where m represents a host metal lattice or metal particle. Reaction rate R for $\{6\}$ is dominant over reaction rates for $\{4\}$ and $\{5\}$, i.e., $R\{6\} >> R\{4\}$ and $R\{6\} >> R\{5\}$.

Experimental observations reported from electrolysis and gas-loading experiments are summarized below (not complete):

[a] The Coulomb barrier between two deuterons are suppressed.

[b] Excess heat production (the amount of excess heat indicates its nuclear origin).

- [c] ⁴He production commensurate with excess heat production, no 23.8 MeV γ -ray.
- [d] More tritium is produced than neutron $R{4} >> R{5}$.
- [e] Production of nuclear ashes with anomalous rates: $R{4} << R{6}$ and $R{5} << R{6}$.

[f] Production of hot spots and micro-scale craters on metal surface.

- [g] Detection of radiations.
- [h] "Heat-after-death".
- [i] Requirement of deuteron mobility (D/Pd > \sim 0.9, electric current, pressure gradient, etc.).
- [j] Requirement of deuterium purity (H/D << 1).

All of the above experimental observations are explained either quantitatively or qualitatively in terms of theory of Bose–Einstein condensation nuclear fusion (BECNF) in the previous publication [5] and this paper. In this paper, additional theoretical explanations are provided for the *observations* [a]–[d] in later sections. Theoretical explanations of other *observations* such as "Heat-after-death" [h] have been described in [5].

3. Deuteron Mobility and Bose-Einstein Condensation of Deuterons in Metals

Development of Bose–Einstein condensate theory of deuteron fusion in metal is based upon a single hypothesis that deuterons in metal are mobile and hence are capable of forming Bose–Einstein condensates.

Y.E. Kim / Journal of Condensed Matter Nuclear Science 4 (2011) 188-201

3.1. Deuteron mobility in metal

Experimental proof of proton (deuteron) mobility in metals was first demonstrated by Coehn in his hydrogen electromigration experiment [7,8]. The significance of Coehn's experimental results [7] is emphasized by Bartolomeo et al. [9]. A theoretical explanation of Coehn's results [7] is given by Isenberg [10]. The Coehn's experimental fact is not well known in review articles and textbooks.

There are other experimental evidences [11–15] that heating and/or applying an electric field in a metal causes hydrogens and deuterons in a metal to become mobile, thus leading to a higher density for quasi-free mobile deuterons in a metal. It is expected that the number of mobile deuterons will increase, as the loading ratio D/metal of deuterium atoms increases and becomes larger than one, D/metal ≥ 1 .

Mobility of deuterons in a metal is a complex phenomenon and may involve a number of different processes [15]: coherent tunneling, incoherent hopping, phonon-assisted processes, thermally activated tunneling, and over-barrier jump/fluid like motion at higher temperatures. Furthermore, applied electric fields as in electrolysis experiments can enhance the mobility of absorbed deuterons.

3.2. BEC fraction of deuterons in metal

Fraction of deuterons in a metal satisfying BEC condition can be estimated as a function of the temperature. The BEC condensate fraction $F(T) = N_{\text{BE}}/N$ can be calculated from integrals,

$$N_{\rm BE} = \int_0^{E_C} n(E)N(E)dE \quad \text{and} \quad N = \int_0^\infty n(E)N(E)dE$$

where n(E) is either Bose–Einstein or Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution function, N(E) is the density of (quantum) states, and $E_{\rm C}$ is the critical kinetic energy of deuteron satisfying the BEC condition $\lambda_{\rm c} = d$, where $\lambda_{\rm c}$ is the de Broglie wavelength of deuteron corresponding to $E_{\rm C}$ and d is the average distance between two deuterons. For d = 2.5 Å, we obtain $F(T = 300^{\circ} \text{ K}) \approx 0.084$ (8.4 %), $F(T = 77.3 \text{ K}) \approx 0.44$ (44%), and $F(T = 20.3 \text{ K}) \approx 0.94$ (94%). At T = 300 K, F = 0.084 (8.4%) is not large enough to form BEC since motions of deuterons are limited to several lattice sites and the probability of their encounters are very small. On the other hand, at liquid nitrogen (77.3 K) and liquid hydrogen (20.3 K) temperatures, probability of forming BEC of deuterons is expected to be $\Omega \approx 1$. This suggests that experiments at these low temperatures can provide tests for enhancement of the reaction rate R_t , Eq. (4) (described in Section 4) as predicted by BECNF theory.

4. Bose-Einstein Condensate Theory of Deuteron Fusion in Metal

For the BEC theory of deuteron fusion in metal, we make one basic assumption that mobile deuterons in a micro/nanoscale metal particle form a BEC state. The validity of this assumption is to be verified by independent experimental tests suggested in this paper. Because of the above assumption, the theory cannot be applied to deuterons in bulk metals, which do not provide well-defined localized trapping potentials for deuterons.

For applying the concept of the BEC mechanism to deuteron fusion in a nano-scale metal particle, we consider N identical charged Bose nuclei (deuterons) confined in an ion trap (or a metal grain or particle). Some fraction of trapped deuterons are assumed to be mobile as discussed above. The trapping potential is 3-dimensional (nearly sphere) for nano-scale metal particle, or quasi 2-dimensional (nearly hemi-sphere) for micro-scale metal grains, both having surrounding boundary barriers. The barrier heights or potential depths are expected to be an order of energy ($\leq 1 \text{ eV}$) required for removing a deuteron from a metal grain or particle. For simplicity, we assume an isotropic harmonic potential for the ion trap to obtain order of magnitude estimates of fusion reaction rates.

N-body Schroedinger equation for the system is given by

$$H\Psi = E\Psi \tag{1}$$

with the Hamiltonian H for the system given by

$$H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_i + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}$$
(2)

where m is the rest mass of the nucleus. Only two-body interactions (Coulomb and nuclear forces) are considered since we expect that three-body interactions are expected to be much weaker than the two-body interactions.

Electron degrees of freedom are not explicitly included, assuming that electrons and host metal atoms provide a host trapping potential. In the presence of electrons, the Coulomb interaction between two deuterons can be replaced by a screened coulomb potential in Eq. (2). Hence, Eq. (2) without the electron screening effect represents the strongest case of the reaction rate suppression due to the Coulomb repulsion.

The approximate ground-state solution of Eq. (1) with H given by Eq. (2) is obtained using the equivalent linear two-body method [16,17]. The use of an alternative method based on the mean-field theory for bosons yields the same result (see Appendix in [18]). Based on the optical theorem formulation of low energy nuclear reactions [19], the ground-state solution is used to derive the approximate theoretical formula for the deuteron–deuteron fusion rate in an ion trap (micro/nano-scale metal grain or particle). The detailed derivations are given elsewhere including a short-range nuclear strong interaction used [18,20].

Our final theoretical formula for the nuclear fusion rate R_{trap} for a single trap containing N deuterons is given by [5]

$$R_{\rm trap} = 4(3/4\pi)^{3/2} \Omega SB \frac{N^2}{D_{\rm trap}^3} \propto \Omega \frac{N^2}{D_{\rm trap}^3},$$
(3)

where N is the average number of Bose nuclei in a trap/cluster, D_{trap} is the average diameter of the trap, $B = 2r_{\text{B}}/(\pi\hbar)$, $r_{\text{B}} = \hbar^2/(2\mu e^2)$, and S is the S-factor of the nuclear fusion reaction between two deuterons. For D(d, p)T and D(d, n)³He reactions, we have $S \approx 55 \text{ keV-barn}$. We expect also $S \approx 55 \text{ keV-barn}$ or larger for reaction {6}. $B = 1.4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3$ /s with S in units of keV-barn in Eq. (3). $SB = 0.77 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3$ /s for S = 55 keV-barn. We note that $\Omega \leq 1$.

The total fusion rate R_t is given by

$$R_{\rm t} = N_{\rm trap} R_{\rm trap} = \frac{N_{\rm D}}{N} R_{\rm trap} \propto \Omega \frac{N}{D_{\rm trap}^3} \tag{4}$$

where $N_{\rm D}$ is the total number of deuterons and $N_{\rm trap} = N_{\rm D}/N$ is the total number of traps. Eq. (4) shows that the total fusion rates, $R_{\rm t}$, are very large if $\Omega \approx 1$.

Equations (3) and (4) provide an important result that nuclear fusion rates R_{trap} and R_t do not depend on the Gamow factor in contrast to the conventional theory for nuclear fusion in free space. This could provide explanations for overcoming the Coulomb barrier and for the claimed anomalous effects for low-energy nuclear reactions in metals. This is consistent with the conjecture noted by Dirac [21] and used by Bogolubov [22] that boson creation and annihilation operators can be treated simply as numbers when the ground state occupation number is large. This implies that for large N each charged boson behaves as an independent particle in a common average background potential and the Coulomb interaction between two charged bosons is suppressed. This provides an explanation for the *observation* [a]. There is a simple classical analogy of the Coulomb field suppression. For a uniform charge distribution in a sphere, the electric field is a maximum at the surface of the sphere and decreases to zero at the center of the sphere.

Y.E. Kim / Journal of Condensed Matter Nuclear Science 4 (2011) 188-201

5. Theoretical Explanation of Anomalous ⁴He Production (the *observations* [b] and [c])

Above the ground-state of ⁴He, there are five excited continuum states, ⁴He^{*} (J^{π} , T), below the (D + D) threshold energy [23]: (0⁺, 0, 20.21 MeV), (0⁻, 0, 21.01 MeV), (2⁻, 0, 21.84 MeV), (2⁻, 1, 23.33 MeV), and (1⁻, 1, 23.64 MeV). In this paper, we consider reaction rates for two exit channels to ⁴He (0⁺, 0, 0.0 MeV) and ⁴He^{*} (0⁺, 0, 20.21 MeV) states.

For a single trap (or metal particle) containing N deuterons, the deuteron-deuteron fusion can proceed with the following two reaction channels:

$$\{6\} \qquad \qquad \psi_{\text{BEC}}\left((N-2)\text{D's}+(\text{D}+\text{D})\right) \to \psi^*({}^4\text{He}(0^+,0)+(N-2)\text{D's}) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\{7\} \qquad \qquad \psi_{\text{BEC}}\left((N-2)\text{D's}+(\text{D}+\text{D})\right) \to \psi^*({}^4\text{He}^*(0^+,0)+(N-2)\text{D's}), \tag{6}$$

where ψ_{BEC} is the Bose–Einstein condensate ground state (a coherent quantum state) with N deuterons and ψ^* are final excited continuum states. ⁴He in Eq. (5) represents the ground state with spin-parity, 0⁺, while ⁴He^{*} in Eq. (6) represents the 0⁺ excited state at 20.21 MeV with the resonance width of $\Gamma(T + p) = 0.5$ MeV above the ⁴He ground state [23]. It is assumed that excess energy (*Q*-value) is absorbed by the BEC state and shared by (*N* – 2) deuterons and reaction products in the final state. It is important to note that reaction {6}, described by Eq. (5), cannot occur in free space due to the momentum conservation, while reaction {7} described by Eq. (6) can occur with *Q* = 0 in free space without violating the momentum conservation, due to the resonance width of $\Gamma(T + p) = 0.5$ MeV [23] for the 20.21 MeV state of ⁴He^{*}.

For micro/nano-scale metal particles, the above consideration shows that excess energies (Q) lead to a micro/nanoscale firework type explosion, creating a crater/cavity and a hot spot with fire-work like star tracks. The size of a crater/cavity will depend on number of neighboring Pd nanoparticles participating in BEC fusion almost simultaneously. Hot spots and craters have been observed in experiments reported by Srinivasan et al. [24] and others. This provides a theoretical explanation of *observation* [f].

We now consider the total momentum conservation for reaction {6} described by Eq. (5). The initial total momentum of the initial BEC state with N deuterons (denoted as D^N) is given by $\vec{P}_{D^N} \approx 0$. Because of the total momentum conservation, the final total momenta for reaction {6} is given by

$$\{6a\} \qquad \qquad \vec{P}_{\mathrm{D}^{N-2}\,^{4}\mathrm{He}} \approx 0, \quad \langle T_{\mathrm{D}} \rangle \approx \langle T_{^{4}\mathrm{He}} \rangle \approx Q(6)/N,$$

where $\langle T \rangle$ represents the average kinetic energy.

For the reaction {6} with Eq. (5), the average kinetic energy for each deuteron is $\langle T \rangle = Q(6)/N = 23.85 \text{ MeV}/N$. For the case of 5 nm Pd trap, the number of deuterons in the trap is N = ~4450, and $\langle T \rangle \approx 5.36$ keV. With this deuteron kinetic energy of ~ 5.36 keV, a question arises whether the hot-fusion reactions {1} and {2} can occur as the secondary reactions to the primary reaction {6}. Since the secondary reactions {1} and {2} have not been observed, there have been speculations such as a hypothesis that the fusion energy of 23.85 MeV is transferred to metal lattice vibrations thus producing heat. In the following a more convincing alternative explanation is described that transfer of the fusion energy of 23.85 MeV to the metal is accomplished as the energy loss of energetic (5.36 keV) deuteron due to the stopping power of the metal.

Experimental values of the conventional hot-fusion cross section $\sigma(E)$ for reaction {1} or {2} have been conventionally parameterized as [25]

$$\sigma(E) = \frac{S(E)}{E} \exp[-(E_{\rm G}/E)^{1/2}],$$
(7)

where E_G is the "Gamow energy" given by $E_G = (2\pi\alpha Z_D Z_D)^2 Mc^2/2$ or $E_G^{1/2} \approx 31.39 \text{ keV}^{1/2}$ for the reduced mass $M \approx M_D/2$ for reactions {1} or {2}. The value *E* is measured in keV in the center-of-mass (CM) reference frame. The *S* factor, *S*(*E*), is extracted from experimentally measured values [26] of the cross section $\sigma(E)$ for $E \ge 4$ keV and is nearly constant [27]; *S*(*E*) \approx 52.9 keV-barn, for reactions {1} or {2} in the energy range of interest here, $E \le 100$ keV.

The probability $P(E_i)$ for a deuteron to undergo the conventional hot-fusion reaction {1} or {2} while slowing down in the deuterated palladium metal can be written as [28]

$$P(E_i) = 1 - \exp\left[\int dx \, n_{\rm D}\sigma(E_{\rm DD})\right] \approx \int dx \, n_{\rm D}\sigma(E_{\rm DD})$$
$$= n_{\rm D} \int_0^{E_i} dE_{\rm D} \frac{1}{|dE_{\rm D}/dx|} \sigma(E_{\rm DD}).$$
(8)

Values E_D and E_{DD} are the deuteron kinetic energies in the LAB and CM frames respectively, ($E_{DD} = E_D/2$). The stopping power [29] for deuterium in PdD for $E_D \le 20$ keV is given by [28]

$$\frac{dE_{\rm D}}{dx} = 3.70 \times 10^{-15} n_{\rm pd} \sqrt{E_{\rm D}} \ {\rm eV} \cdot {\rm cm}^2$$
(9)

for deuterium in palladium and

$$\frac{dE_{\rm D}}{dx} = 0.89 \times 10^{-15} n_{\rm D} \sqrt{E_{\rm D}} \ \text{eV/cm}^2 \tag{10}$$

for deuterium in deuterium. Therefore, the stopping power for deuterium in PdD is given by the sum of Eqs. (9) and (10),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = 3.1 \times 10^5 \sqrt{E_{\mathrm{D}}} \text{ keV/cm},\tag{11}$$

for $n_{\text{Pd}} = 6.767 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $n_{\text{D}} = n_{\text{Pd}}$. If we use Eq. (11) and the conventional extrapolation formula for $\sigma(E)$ given by Eq. (7), the integration in Eq. (8) can be performed analytically to yield the following expression for Eq. (8) [28]:

$$P(E_i) = 1.04 \times 10^{-6} \exp(-44.40/\sqrt{E_i}), \tag{12}$$

where E_i is in keV (LAB), for reactions {1} or {2} assuming equal branching ratios (50% each).

For the case of 5 nm diameter Pd particle containing ~ 4450 deuterons, Eq. (12) with $E_i = 5.36$ keV yields $P(5.36 \text{ keV}) \approx 0.49 \times 10^{-14}$ per deuteron. Therefore, the total fusion probability for 4450 deuterons is $P_{\text{total}} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-11}$, yielding a branching ratio of $R\{1\}/R\{6\} \approx R\{2\}/R\{6\} \approx 10^{-11}$. Tritium production from $\{1\}$ and neutron production from $\{2\}$ are both negligible. Even for the case of $E_i \approx 20$ keV with a 3.2 nm Pd particle containing ~ 1200 deuterons, P (20 keV) 0.5×10^{-10} , and the total fusion probability is $P_{\text{total}}(20 \text{ keV}) \approx 0.6 \times 10^{-7}$. Therefore, the fusion energy of 23.85 MeV in $\{6\}$ is transferred to the metal by the stopping power of the metal without appreciable production of T and n from $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$.

6. Theoretical Explanation of Anomalous Tritium Production (the observation [d])

There have been many reports of anomalous tritium and neutron production in deuterated metal from electrolysis experiments [30–34] and gas/plasma loading experiments [24, 35–40]. The reported branching ratio of R(T)/R(n) ranges from 10⁷ to 10⁹ in contrast to the conventional free-space reactions branching ratio of $R\{1\}/R\{2\} \approx 1$. In this

section, we present a theoretical explanation of this anomalous tritium production based on the BECNF theory, utilizing a sub-threshold resonance ⁴He^{*} (0⁺) state at 20.21 MeV with a resonance width of $\Gamma(T + p) = 0.5$ MeV as shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1. Energy levels of nuclei A = 4.

In Fig 1, reaction channels {4}–{7} are shown. Decay channels {7a} and {7b} (described below) are also shown. Due to a selection rule derived in [5], both {4} and {5} are suppressed, and we have R{4} $\ll R$ {6} and R{5} $\ll R$ {6}. In free space, {6} would be forbidden due to the momentum conservation. For this section (Eqs. (13)–(15)), we use a new energy level scale, which sets E = 0 for (D + D) state, and E = -23.85 MeV for the ⁴He ground state. *Q*-value remains the same since $Q = E_i - E_f$.

Reaction {7}, described by Eq. (6), can proceed via a sub-threshold resonance reaction [41,42]. The cross section for the sub-threshold resonance reaction is given by Breit–Wigner expression [42]

$$\sigma(E) = \pi \lambda^2 w \frac{\Gamma_1(E)\Gamma_2}{(E - E_{\rm R})^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2},\tag{13}$$

where $\hbar = \lambda/2\pi$, $\lambda = h/mv$ (de Broglie wavelength), w is a statistical factor, E_R is the sub-threshold resonance energy. Γ_2 is a partial decay width and Γ is the total decay width to the final states. If E is measured from the threshold energy E = 0 of (D + D) state, $E_R = (20.21 \text{ MeV}-23.85 \text{ MeV}) = -3.64 \text{ MeV}$. After combining Eq. (7) with Eq. (13), the S(E) factor can be written as

$$S(E) = E \exp\left(\sqrt{E_{\rm G}}/\sqrt{E}\right) \pi \lambda^2 w \frac{\Gamma_1(E)\Gamma_2}{(E - E_{\rm R})^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2}.$$
(14)

From Eq. (14), we obtain the S(E) factor near zero energy as [41]

$$S(E) = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^4}{4\mu^2 R_{\rm p}^2 K_1^2(x)} w \theta_0^2 F_{\rm BW}(E), \qquad F_{\rm BW}(E) = \frac{\Gamma_2}{(E - E_{\rm R})^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2},$$
(15)

where μ is the reduced mass in units of atomic mass unit (931.494 MeV), R_n is the nuclear radius, and $K_1(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of order unity with argument $x = (8Z_1Z_2e^2R_n\mu/\hbar^2)^{1/2}$. We note that $F_{BW}(ER)$ is a maximum at $E = E_R = -3.64$ MeV. At E = 0, $F_{BW}(0)$ is reduced to $F_{BW}(0) = 0.47 \times 10^{-2}F_{BW}(E_R)$. Equation (15) shows that the S(E) factor has a finite value at E = 0 and drops off rapidly with increasing energy E. θ_i^2 is the reduced width of a nuclear state, representing the probability of finding the excited state in the configuration *i*, and the sum of θ_i^2 over *i* is normalized to 1. The dimensionless number θ_i^2 is generally determined experimentally and contains the nuclear structure information.

For the entrance channel, $D + D \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He}^{*}(0^{+}, 0, 23.85 \text{ MeV}, Q = 0)$, there are two possible decay channels as shown in Fig. 1:

{7a}
$${}^{4}\text{He}^{*}(0^{+}, 0) \rightarrow T(1.01 \text{ MeV}) + p(3.02 \text{ MeV}),$$

{7b}
$${}^{4}\text{He}^{*}(0^{+}, 0) \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He}(0^{+}, 0, 0.0)$$
 (ground state),

S(E) factors are calculated from Eq. (15) using E = 0 at a tail of the ⁴He^{*}(0⁺, 0) resonance at 20.21 MeV. E = 0 corresponds to 23.85 MeV above ⁴He(0⁺, 0) ground state. The calculated S(E) can be used in Eqs. (3) and (4) to obtain the total fusion reaction rate. We will estimate S(E) factors for the decay channels, (7a) and (7b), using Eq. (15) in the following.

For the decay channel {7a}, $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma$ {7a} = 0.5 MeV [23]. When this value of Γ_2 is combined with other appropriate inputs in Eq. (15), the extracted S-factor for the decay channel {7a} is S{7a} $\approx 1.4 \times 10^2 \theta_0^2$ keV-barn for $E \approx 0$. In [5], it was shown that the neutron production rate R{5} is suppressed, i.e. R{5} \ll R{6} due to a selection rule. Since (³He + n) state has a resonance width of $\Gamma_2(^{3}\text{He} + n) = 0$ [23], this value of S{7a} may provide an explanation of the reported branching ratio of $R(T)/R(n) \approx 10^7 - 10^9$ [24, 30–40] or $R(n)/R(T) \approx 10^{-7} - 10^{-9}$.

If we assume $S\{6\} \approx 55$ keV-barn (this could be much larger), we expect the branching ratio $R\{7a\}/R\{6\} = R(T)/R(^4\text{He}) \approx 2.6 \ \theta_0^2 \approx 2.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ if } \theta_0^2 \approx 10^{-6}$. Experimental measurements of $R(T)/R(^4\text{He})$ are needed to determine θ_0^2 . If $S\{6\}(= S(^4\text{He}))$ is determined to be larger from future experiments, $R(T)/R(^4\text{He})$ is reduced accordingly. From a previous section, we have theoretical prediction that $R(n)/R(^4\text{He}) < 10^{-11}$. Combining this with the above theoretical prediction of $R(T)/R(^4\text{He}) \approx 2.6\theta_0^2$, we have $R(n)/R(T) < 0.38 \times 10^{-11}/\theta_0^2$. If we assume $\theta_0^2 \approx 10^{-6}$, we have $R(n)/R(T) < 0.38 \times 10^{-5}$, which is consistent with reported values of $10^{-7} \approx 10^{-9}$.

For the decay channel {7b} $(0^+ \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ transition})$, γ -ray transition is forbidden. However, the transition can proceed via the internal e⁺ e⁻ pair conversion. The transition rate for the internal electron pair conversion is given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{135\pi} \left(\frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\right)^2 \frac{\gamma^5}{\hbar^5 c^4} R_N^4, \quad R_N^2 = \left| \langle \psi_{\text{exc}}, \sum_i r_i^2 \psi_{\text{g.s.}} \rangle \right| \approx R_n^2 \phi_0, \tag{16}$$

where γ is the transition energy, R_n is the nuclear rating, and $\phi_0 = \langle \psi_{exc} | \psi_{g.s.} \rangle$. Eq. (16) was derived by Oppenheimer and Schwinger [43] in 1939 for their theoretical investigation of $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition in ¹⁶O. The rate for the internal electron conversion is much smaller by many order of magnitude. For our case of $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition {7b}, we obtain $\omega \approx 1.75 \times 10^{13} \phi_0^2$ /s, and Γ {7b} = $\hbar \omega \approx 1.15 \times 10^{-2} \phi_0^2$ eV using appropriate inputs in Eq. (16). Using $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma$ {7b} = $1.15 \times 10^{-2} \phi_0^2$ eV in Eq. (15), the extracted S-factor for decay channel {7b} is S{7b} $\approx 3.3 \times 10^{-6} \theta_0^2 \phi_0^2$ keV-barn for $E \approx 0$, yielding a branching ratio, R{7b}/R{7a} $\approx S$ {7b}/S{7a} $\approx 2.4 \times 10^{-8} \phi_0^2$. Experiments are needed for testing this predicted branching ratio.

7. Theoretical Explanations of Anomalous Neutron Production

Experimental observation of $R(n)/R(T) \approx 10^{-7}-10^{-9}$ [24,36–40] is anomalous since we expect $R(n)/R(T) \approx 1$ from "hot" fusion reactions, {1} and {2}. In this section, we explore nuclear reactions producing neutrons at anomalously low rates.

There are three possible processes that can produce neutrons. The first process is the secondary "hot" fusion reaction {2} producing 2.45 MeV neutrons as discussed with Eqs. (7)–(12). The rate for this secondary reaction is extremely small, $R\{2\}/R\{6\} = R(n)/R(^{4}\text{He}) < 10^{-11}$, as shown previously.

The second process is a 3D BECNF reaction. In [5], it is shown that both reactions {4} and {5} are suppressed due to a selection rule [5]. It was also suggested that the following 3D BECNF is possible:

$$\{8\} \qquad D+D+D \text{ (in BEC state)} \rightarrow n+p+4 \text{ He}+21.6 \text{ MeV}.$$

This reaction is a secondary effect since the probability for $\{8\}$ is expected to be much smaller than the 2D BECNF reaction $\{6\}$; it is suppressed further due to the selection rule described in [5].

The third process is a "hot" fusion reaction $T(d, n)^4$ He,

$$\{9\} \qquad T+D \rightarrow n + He + 17.6 \text{ MeV}$$

induced by 1.01 MeV T produced from reaction {7a}. Since the cross-section for reaction {9} is large and a maximum (several barns) at $E_D \approx 100$ keV [44], neutrons from this process may contribute substantially to the branching ratio $R(n)/R(T) = 10^{-7}-10^{-9}$.

Energetic neutrons from the reaction {9} described above could induce the following reactions:

{10}
$${}^{12}C(n, n')3^{4}He, {}^{12}C(n, n'\alpha)^{8}Be({}^{8}Be \rightarrow 2^{4}He), etc$$

as reported recently by Mosier-Boss et. al. [45].

To test the above theoretical interpretation, based on the process {9}, we need to measure/detect (i) tritium production, (ii) Bremsstrahlung radiations from energetic electrons going through metal, (iii) 0.51 MeV γ -rays from e⁺e⁻ annihilation, (iv) energetic electrons from e⁺e⁻ pair production, (v) γ -rays from the following reaction:

$$(11) \qquad n+D \to T+\gamma + 6.257 \text{ MeV},$$

and (vi) γ -rays from reaction {12} induced by 0.3 MeV protons from (7a):

$$p + D \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He} + \gamma + 5.494 \text{ MeV}.$$

The cross-section for $\{11\}$ with thermal neutrons is ~ 0.5 mb.

8. Proposed Experimental Tests of Theoretical Predictions

8.1. Experimental test for metal particle size

The first experimental test of the BEC mechanism for deuterium fusion with nano-scale Pd particles was carried out in 2002 with Pd blacks loaded by high-pressure deuterium gas [46] in our laboratory in the Physics Building at Purdue University. The result of this experiment shows no excess heat production. This may be because Pd nano-particles (Pd

Blacks) used had too large sizes (80–180 nm) and were clumped together (not isolated). The recent report of deuteron gas-loading experiment by Arata and Zhang [3] show positive results of observing excess heat and ⁴He production using \sim 5 nm Pd particles imbedded in ZrO₂ and purified deuterium. The recent experimental results by Kitamura et al. [4] using \sim 10 nm Pd particles have confirmed the results of Arata and Zhang [3], and also is consistent with one of theoretical predictions of the BECNF theory [5]. The theoretical prediction is that the reaction rate for smaller palladium particles is expected to be greater than the reaction rate for larger palladium particles, *R* (smaller Pd) > *R* (larger Pd). Their experimental data shown in Fig. 3(a) (\sim 104 nm Pd particles) and Fig. 3(c) (\sim 10 nm Pd particles) in their paper [4] is consistent with the above prediction. Their data in Fig. 3(c) are also consistent with the requirement of deuteron mobility in metal (the *observation* [i] described in [5] (in this case the reaction occurs only when the pressure gradient exists).

8.2. Experimental test for anomalous tritium production

For experimental tests of the sub-threshold resonance reaction described in Section 6, it is desirable to carry out highsensitivity detections of weak signals (i) of Bremsstrahlung radiations from energetic electrons going through metal and (ii) of 0.51 MeV γ -rays from e⁺e⁻ annihilation, as well as (iii) ⁴He production, during tritium production experiments to test the predicted branching ratio $R\{7b\}/R\{7a\} \approx S\{7b\}/S\{7a\} \approx 10^{-8}\phi_0^2$, and also to determine the branching ratio $R\{7a\}/R\{6\}$ which in turn can provide information on θ_0^2 for $S\{7a\}$ and also S(E) for reaction $\{6\}$.

8.3. Experimental test for fusion-rate enhancement at low temperatures

As discussed in a previous section, the BEC fraction and the probability Ω of the BEC ground-state occupation will increase at lower temperatures. This increase of Ω will enhance the total fusion rate R_t , Eq. (4). This prediction can be tested by carrying out experiments at low temperatures. For an example, thermal cycling experiment [24] and other experiments [35–40] should be repeated with micro/nano-scale Titanium particles.

8.4. Experimental test for fusion-rate enhancement at high pressures

High pressures will shorten the average distance between two deuterons in metal, thus enhancing the BEC fraction and hence Ω . This enhances the total fusion rates R_t , Eq. (4). This prediction can be tested by carrying out experiments at high pressures.

The null results reported by Baranowski et al. [47] and Silvera and Moshary [48] from their high-pressure deuterium gas-loading experiments may be due to their use of bulk metals. It is desirable to carry out similar experiments with micro/nano-scale metal particles.

8.5. Experimental tests for fusion-rate enhancement with ultra-high density deuteron clusters

Recently, Lipson et al. [49,50], Holmlid et al. [51], and Miley et al. [52] have been developing ultra-high density deuteron clusters on palladium thin film with densities approaching 10^{24} /cm³ corresponding to an average distance d = 1 Å between two deuterons satisfying the BEC requirement at ambient temperature. This ultra-high density deuteron clusters could be used as test beds for BECNF theory as described above and also as test beds for BEC of deuterons in metal described below.

8.6. Experimental tests of Bose-Einstein condensation of deuterons in metal

BECNF theory is based on one single physical hypothesis that mobile deuterons in a metal/grain/particle form a Bose–Einstein condensate. Therefore, it is important to explore experimental tests of this basic hypothesis.

Y.E. Kim / Journal of Condensed Matter Nuclear Science 4 (2011) 188-201

For the atomic Bose–Einstein condensate, many properties of the BE condensate have been investigated both experimentally and theoretically [53]. Gross-Pitaevskii theory [53], based on Gorss-Pitaevskii equation and its extensions, provides a consistent theoretical description of the experimental observations (i)–(iv) listed below.

- (i) Determination of critical velocity for superfluidity of BEC [54].
- (ii) Determination of dynamic structure factor by Bragg scattering experiment [55,56].
- (iii) Observation of rotation/quantized vortices [57].
- (iv) BEC on optical lattices [58].
- (v) Josephson effect [59] as a signature of superfluidity (experimental tests yet to be done) [53,60].

It is desirable to carry out similar experiments (i)–(v) for investigating properties of the Bose–Einstein condensate of deuterons in micro/nano-scale metal grains or particles. However, BEC of deuterons in metal are imbedded with electrons in metal lattice, which acts as a trap, while the atomic BEC is created in a magnetic trap. Therefore, we do not know theoretically to what extent Gross-Pitaevskii theory for the atomic BEC is applicable to the BEC of deuterons in metal. We need to develop an appropriate theory (or theories) as well as carrying out experimental tests.

However, there is a simple basic fundamental experimental test of the BEC and superfluidity of deuterons in metal: measurement of the diffusion rates of deuterons and protons in metal at low temperatures. Theoretical prediction is that the diffusion rate of deuterons is expected to be larger than that of protons at lower temperatures in metal.

One of the advantages of carrying out experiments for observing the BEC of deuterons in micro/nano-scale metal particles is that the modern nano-fabrication techniques allow us to fabricate them in multitude with great precision in one-dimension, two-dimension, and three-dimension. This capability will allow us to produce the BEC of deuterons in metal (A) in a double-well potential trapping two Bose–Einstein condensates for studying the Josephson effect ((v) above), and also (B) in lower-dimensional traps to study the BEC in one-dimension and two-dimension.

9. Potential Applications to Other Phenomena

There are other potential applications of the BEC of deuterons to other related phenomena listed in the following: (i) transient acoustic cavitation fusion [61,62], (ii) transmutation [63–66], and (iii) high-temperature superconductivity in deuterated metals and alloys [67]. These applications will be described in future publications. For proton–metal transmutations [63], we may have to reformulate the BECNF theory based on BEC of molecular Bosons (H₂'s) or Bosons formed by pairing of two protons in metals.

In 2005, Szpak et. al. [66] reported presence or "new" elements (Al, Mg, Ca, Si, Zn, ...) from an operating Pd // D_2O , Li⁺, Cl⁻//Pt cell placed in an external electrostatic field. Their observation implies nuclear transmutation of a new kind.

Fusion of *N* deuterons (ND's) in BEC state is possible, but its probability would be much less than that of fusion of two deuterons (2D). Possible multi-deuteron BEC fusion reactions for formation of Ca, Si, Al, and Mg are: 20 D's $\rightarrow {}^{40}$ Ca + 297.6 MeV, 14 D's $\rightarrow {}^{28}$ Si + 205.4 MeV, 13 D's $\rightarrow {}^{26}$ Al + 177.9 MeV, and 12 D's $\rightarrow {}^{24}$ Mg + 171.6 MeV. Independent experiments are needed to test the results reported by Szpak et. al. [66] and the above theoretical interpretation.

10. Summary and Conclusions

Based on a single physical concept of Bose–Einstein condensation of deuterons in metal, theory of Bose–Einstein condensation nuclear fusion (BECNF) is developed to explain deuteron-induced nuclear reactions observed in metal. It is shown that the BECNF theory is capable of explaining qualitatively or quantitatively all of ten experimental observations (listed in Section 2) reported from electrolysis and gas-loading experiments.

It is shown that the fusion energy transfer to metal can be accomplished by the stopping power of metal without invoking a hypothesis of fusion energy transfer to metal lattice vibrations. It is also shown that observed anomalous tritium production can be explained by incorporating a sub-threshold resonance reaction mechanism into the BECNF theory.

The BECNF theory has also predictive powers as expected for a quantitatively predictive physical theory. Experimental tests of theoretical predictions are proposed and discussed, including tests of the basic hypothesis of Bose–Einstein condensation of deuterons in metal. Experimental tests are needed not only to test theoretical predictions, but also to improve and/or refine the theory, which are needed for designing reproducible experiments and for scaling up BECNF processes for potential practical applications.

References

- [1] M. Fleischman, S. Pons, Electrochemically induced nuclear fusion of deuterium, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **261** (1989) 301; Errata, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **263** (1989) 187.
- [2] P.L. Hagelstein et al., New physical effects in metal deuterides, *Proceedings of ICCF-11*, Marseille, France, Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, World Scientific, Singapore, 2006, pp. 23–59, and references therein.
- [3] Y. Arata, Y.C. Zhang, J. High Temp. Soc. 34(2) (2008) 85.
- [4] A. Kitamura et al., *Phys. Lett.* A 373 (2009) 3109, and references therein.
- [5] Y.E. Kim, Theory of Bose–Einstein condensation mechanism for deuteron-induced nuclear reactions in micro/nano-scale metal grains and particles, *Naturwissenschaften* **96** (2009) 803 and references therein.
- [6] S. Pons, M. Fleischmann, Heat after death, *Trans. Fusion Technol.* 26 (Dec. 1994) 87.
- [7] A. Coehn, Proof of the existence of protons in metals (with discussion), Z. Electrochem. 35 (1929) 676-680.

[8] A. Coehn, W. Specht, Ueber die Beteiligung von Protonen an der Elektrizitaetsleitung in Metallen (Role of protons in electric conductivity of metals), Z. Phys. 83 (1930) 1–31.

- [9] C. Bartolomeo, M. Fleischmann, G. Larramona, S. Pons, J. Roulette, H. Sugiura, G. Preparata, Alfred Coehn and After: The α , β , γ of the Palladium–Hydrogen System, *Trans. Fusion Technol.* **26** (Dec. 1994) 23.
- [10] I. Isenberg, The ionization of hydrogen in metals, Phys. Rev. 79 (1950) 736.
- [11] B. Duhm, Diffusion of hydrogen in palladium, Z. Phys. 94 (1935) 435-456.
- [12] Q.M. Barer, Diffusion in and through Solids, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 1941.
- [13] J.F. Macheche, J.-C. Rat, A. Herold, Study of hydrogen-metal systems: Potential induced by the diffusion of hydrogen in palladium, J. Chim. Phys. Phys. Chim. Biol. 73 (1976) 983–987.
- [14] F.A. Lewis, Palladium-hydrogen system 2, *Platinum Met. Rev.* 26 (1982) 20–27, 70–78, 121–128.
- [15] Y. Fukai, *The Metal–Hydrogen System*, Second Edition, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2005.
- [16] Y.E. Kim, A.L.Zubarev, Ground state of charged bosons confined in a harmonic trap, Phys. Rev. A 64 (2001) 013603-1.
- [17] Y.E. Kim, A.L.Zubarev, Equivalent linear two-body method for Bose–Einstein condensates in time-dependent harmonic traps, *Phys. Rev.* A 66 (2002) 053602-1.
- [18] Y.E. Kim, A.L. Zubarev, Ultra low-energy nuclear fusion of Bose nuclei in nano-scale ion traps, Italian Phys. Soc. Proc. 70 (2000) 375.
- [19] Y.E. Kim, Y.J. Kim, A.L. Zubarev, J.H. Yoon, Optical theorem formulation of low-energy nuclear reactions, *Phys. Rev.* C 55 (1997) 801.
- [20] Y.E. Kim, A.L.Zubarev, Nuclear fusion for Bose nuclei confined in ion traps, Fusion Technol. 37 (2000) 151.
- [21] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Second Edition, Chapter XI, Section 63, p. 235, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1935.
- [22] N. Bogolubov, On the theory of superfluidity, J. Phys. 11 (1966) 23-29.
- [23] D.R. Tilley, H.R. Weller, G.M. Hale, Energy level of light nuclei A = 4, Nucl. Phys. A 541 (1992) 1.
- [24] M. Srinivasan et al., Observation of tritium in gas/plasma loaded titanium samples, AIP Conf. Proc. 228 (1990) 514.
- [25] W.A. Fowler, G.R. Caughlan, B.A. Zimmermann, Thermonuclear reactions rates, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 5 (1967) 525; see also Thermonuclear reaction rates II, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 13 (1975) 69.

Y.E. Kim / Journal of Condensed Matter Nuclear Science 4 (2011) 188–201

- [26] A. von Engel, C.C. Goodyear, Fusion cross-section measurements with deuterons of low energies, Proc. R. Soc. A 264 (1961) 445.
- [27] A. Krauss, H.W. Becker, H.P. Trautvetter, C. Rolfs, Low energy fusion cross-sections of D + D and $D + {}^{3}$ He reactions, *Nucl. Phys.* **465** (1987) 150.
- [28] Y.E. Kim, Surface reaction mechanism for deuterium-deuterium fusion with a gas/solid-state fusion device, *Fusion Technol.*.
 19 (1990) 558–566; *AIP Conf. Proc.* 228 (1990) 807.
- [29] H.H. Anderson, J.F. Ziegler, Hydrogen Stopping Powers and Ranges in All Elements, Pergamon Press, New York, 1977.
- [30] E. S.C. Talcott, Electrolytic tritium production, Fusion Technol. 17 (1990) 680.
- [31] K. Cedzynska, S.C. Barrowes, H.E. Bergeson, L.C. Knight, F.W. Will, Tritium analysis in palladium with an open system analytical procedure, *Fusion Technol.* **20** (1991) 108.
- [32] F.G. Will, K. Cedzynska, D.C. Linton, Reproducible tritium generation in electrochemical-cells employing palladium cathodes with high deuterium loading, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **360** (1993) 161: Tritium generation in palladium cathodes with high deuterium loading, *Trans. Fusion Technol.* **26** (Dec. 1994) 209.
- [33] J.O'M. Bockris, C.-C. Chien, D. Hodko, Z. Minevski, Tritium and helium production in palladium electrodes and the fugacity of deuterium therein, Frontiers Science Series No. 4, *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Cold Fusion*, H. Ikegami (ed.), October 21–25, Nagoya, Japan, Universal Academy Press, Tokyo, Japan, 1993, p. 23.
- [34] R. Szpak, P. A. Mosier-Boss, R. D. Boss, J. J. Smith, On the behavior of the Pd/D system: evidence for tritium production, Fusion Technol. 33 (1998) 38-51.
- [35] A. DeNinno, A. Frattolillo, G. Lollobattista, L. Martinis, M. Martone, L. Mori, S. Podda, F. Scaramuzzi, Emission of neutrons as a consequence of titanium-deuterium interaction, II *Nuovo Cimento* 101A (1989) 841.
- [36] T.N. Claytor, D.G. Tuggle, H.O. Menlove, P.A. Seeger, W.R. Doty, R.K. Rohwer, Tritium and neutron measurements from a solid-state cell, LA–UR-89-3946, October 1989, Presented at the NSF-EPRI workshop.
- [37] T.N. Claytor, D.G. Tuggle, H.O. Menlove, P.A. Seeger, W.R. Doty, R.K. Rohwer, Tritium and neutron measurements from deuterated Pd–Si, *AIP Conference Proceedings* 228, Anomalous Nuclear Effects in Deuterium/Solid Systesm, S. Jones, F. Scaramuzzi, D. Worledge, Provo Utah (eds.), 1990, p. 467.
- [38] T.N. Claytor, D.G. Tuggle, S.F. Taylor, Evolution of tritium from deuterided palladium subject to high electrical currents, Frontiers Science Series No. 4, *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Cold Fusion*, H. Ikegami (ed.), October 21–25, Nagoya, Japan., Universal Academy Press Tokyo, Japan, 1993, p. 217.
- [39] T.N. Claytor, D.G. Tuggle, S.F. Taylor, Tritium Evolution from various morphologies of deuterided palladium, *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conferences on Cold Fusion*, T.O. Passel (ed.), December 6–9, 1993, Maui, Hawaii, EPRI-TR-104188-V1 Project 3170, Vol. 1, 1994, p. 7–2.
- [40] T.N. Claytor et al., Tritium production from palladium alloys, Proceedings of ICCF-7, 1998, p. 88.
- [41] C.E. Rolfs, W.S. Rodney, Cauldrons in the Cosmos: Nuclear Astrophysics, Chapter 4, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1988.
- [42] J.M. Blatt, V.F. Weisskopf, Theoretical Nuclear Physics, John Wiley and Sons, 1952, 8th Printing, 1962.
- [43] J.R. Oppenheimer, J.S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 56 (1939) 1066.
- [44] G.S. Chulick, Y.E. Kim, R.A. Rice, M. Rabinowitz, Extended parameterization of nuclear-reaction cross sections for fewnucleon nuclei, *Nucl. Phys.* A 551 (1993) 255–268.
- [45] P.A. Mosier–Boss, S. Szpak, F.E. Gordon, L.P.G. Forsley, Triple tracks in Cr-39 as the result of Pd–D Co-deposition: evidence of energetic neutrons, *Naturwissenschaften* 96 (2009) 135; Characterization of Tracks in CR-39 Detectors as a Result of Pd/D Co-deposition, *Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys.* 46 (2009) 30901.
- [46] Y.E. Kim, D.S. Koltick, R. Pringer, J. Myers, R. Koltick, *Proceedings of ICCF-10*, Massachusetts, USA, 2003, Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, World Scientific, Singapore, 2006, pp. 789–799.
- [47] B. Baranowski et al., Search for 'cold fusion' in some Me–D systems at high pressures of gaseous deuterium, J. Less-Common Met. 158 (1990) 347.
- [48] I. F. Silvera, E. Moshary, Deuterated palladium at temperatures from 4.3 to 400 K and pressures to 105 kbar: search for cold fusion, *Phys. Rev. B.* 42 (1990) 9143.
- [49] A. G. Lipson, A.G. Lipson, B. J. Heuser, C. Castano, G.H. Miley, B. Lyakhov, A. Mitin, Transport and magnetic anamolies below 70 K in a hydrogen-cycled Pd foil with athermally grown oxide, *Phys. Rev. B* 72 (2005) 212507.

- [50] A.G. Lipson, B.J. Heuser, C.H. Castano, A. Celik-Aktas, Observation of low-field diamagnetic contribution to the magnetic susceptibility of deformed single crystal PdHx, *Phys. Lett.* A 339 (2005) 414–423.
- [51] L. Holmlid, H. Hora, G.H. Miley, X. Yang, Laser and Particle Beams 27 (2009) 529.
- [52] G.H. Miley, H. Hora, X. Yang, Condensed matter 'cluster' reactions in LENRs, Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, Washington, DC, 2008.
- [53] L. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein Condensation, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [54] R. Onofrio et al., Observation of Superfluid flow in a Bose–Einstein Gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 228 and references therein.
- [55] J. Steinger et al., Bragg spectroscopy of a Bose–Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 4569.
- [56] J. Steinhauser et al., Excitation spectrum of a Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 120407-4.
- [57] F. Chevy et al., Inferometric detection of a single vortex in a dilute Bose–Einstein condensate, *Phys. Rev.* A **64** (2001) 031601 and references therein.
- [58] P. Pedri, et al., Expansion of a coherent array of Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 220401.
- [59] B.D. Josephson, Possible new effects in superconductive tunneling, *Phys.Lett.* 1 (1962) 251.
- [60] L. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, Thermal vs. quantum decoherence in double well trapped Bose–Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 180402-1 and references therein.
- [61] R.S. Stringham, in the Proceedings of ICCF-7 (1990), ICCF-10 (2003), ICCF-14 (2008).
- [62] A.G. Lipson, V.A. Klyuev, B.V. Deryaguin et al., Sov. Tech. Phys. Lett. 61(10) (1990) 763.
- [63] G.H. Miley, P. Shrestha, Review of transmutation reactions in solids, *Proceedings of ICCF-10*, Boston, Massachusetts, Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, World Scientific, Singapore, 2006, pp. 361–378.
- [64] Y. Iwamura et al., Jpn. J. App. Phys. 41 (2002) 4642–4648.
- [65] Y. Iwamura et al., Proceedings of ICCF-11, Marseille, France, Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, World Scientific, Singapore, 2006, pp. 339–350.
- [66] S. Szpak et al., Evidence of nuclear reactions in the Pd lattice, Naturwissenschaften 92 (2005) 394.
- [67] P. Tripodi et al., Temperature coefficient of resistivity at compositions approaching PdH, Phys. Lett. A 276 (2000) 122–126.